

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,737	11/11/2003		John Mulloy	27049-20	4997
23643	7590	07/08/2005	7/08/2005 EXAMINER		INER
BARNES &			EDGAR, RI	CHARD A	
11 SOUTH N	•			L DT LD LITE	DARED MINARED
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3745	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	· • • •	10/705,737	MULLOY ET AL.
Off	fice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Richard Edgar	3745
<i>۳he ۱۱</i> Period for Repl	MAILING DATE of this communication V	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
•	, NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILIN	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	ON.	
after SIX (6) Mo - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply recei	ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided by the set or extended period for reply will, by sived by the Office later than three months after the erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on	11 November 2003 under 37 C	C.F.R.§1.53(b).
		This action is non-final.	
3) Since	this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed	in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of (Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
4a) Of	the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
· <u> </u>	s) is/are allowed.		
	s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.		
	s) is/are objected to.	nd/or alastian requirement	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	na/or election requirement.	
Application Par	pers		
9)⊠ The sp	ecification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10)⊠ The dra	awing(s) filed on <u>17 September 200</u>	<u>4</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)▷	dobjected to by the Examiner.
	nt may not request that any objection to		• •
	ement drawing sheet(s) including the co	-	
ii)i ine oa	th or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119		
	vledgment is made of a claim for for b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1.	Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received.	
	Certified copies of the priority docur		
	Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
* See the	attached detailed Office action for a	I list of the certified copies not	received.

Attachment(s)

 Notice of References Cited (P 	PTO-892
---	---------

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/17/2004.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. § 120 as follows:

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence(s) of the specification or in an application data sheet by identifying the prior application by application number (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). If the prior application is a non-provisional application, the specific reference must also include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

Note the preliminary amendment page 2, filed 11 November 2003 which is not in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)(1)(i)-(iv).

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 17 September 2004 is not in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(b)(1). Specifically, cited U.S. Patent No. 4,473,223 was listed with an issue date 11-27-1990 having Franklin as the inventor, however U.S. Patent No. 4,473,223 issued on 09-25-1984 to Lap. The examiner notes cited U.S. Patent No. 4,973,223 to Franklin with an issue date of 11-27-1990 is also cited on the IDS.

Since the item referred to as U.S. Patent No. 4,473,223 is not in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(b)(1), that item of information is not being considered. However, all

Art Unit: 3745

other items of information are in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98 and are therefore being considered. See attached signed copy of IDS filed 17 September 2004.

Drawings

Figure 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 6, line 9, "figure 1" should be -- Figure 1 ---.

On page 6, line 15, "Figure 3a" should be -- Figure 2a --.

On page 6, line 22, "Figure 3a" should be -- Figure 2a --.

On page 6, line 28, -- is -- should be inserted after "position".

On page 7, line 19, "Figure 5b" should be -- Figure 4b --.

Appropriate correction is required.

The above objections appear to be typographical errors that were corrected in application no. 10/117,872, but not in the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,737

Art Unit: 3745

Claim Objections

Claims 4, 5, 6, 7, 9 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 4, 5, 6, 7 and 13 use the status identifier "Presently Amended" while amending said claims in the preliminary amendment, however only the seven status identifiers listed in 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(c) may be used when amending claims. Note the listing of the status identifier "Currently amended" in 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(c).

In claim 9, lines 1-2, "the height" should be deleted. The use of "the height" appears to be a typographical error. Note the use of "the vane height" immediately after "the height".

Claim 13 should be amended as follows, similar to application no. 10/117,872:

A variable geometry turbine according to claim 1, wherein the moveable wall member is mounted in an annular cavity provided within said housing, the [maximum] inlet width [being] is defined [when] by the annular wall of the movable wall member up to the point where the annular wall lies flush with the opening of the cavity, and wherein the annular wall [member] is further retractable into the cavity.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in

Art Unit: 3745

scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-13, respectively of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,654,224. This is a double patenting rejection.

The instant application has been filed with identical claims as that of application no. 10/117,872, which has matured into U.S. Patent No. 6,652,224.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Edgar whose telephone number is (571) 272-4816. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur, and alternate Fri., 7 am- 5 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Richard Edgar Examiner

Art Unit 3745